

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/782,924	02/23/2004		Hirotsuna Miura	118763	7531	
25944	7590	03/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928				HEINRICH,	SAMUEL M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1725		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\triangleright$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/782,924	MIURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Samuel M. Heinrich	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 L	December 2005.	
	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application	j.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 20-38 is/are w	vithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-19 and 39-42</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2004 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	ed to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	its have been received	
Certified copies of the priority document	:	tion No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	• •	<u></u>
application from the International Burea	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receiv	red.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail [ 3) 5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3 sheets</u> .	6) Other:	· 

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Art Unit: 1725

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on December 14, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that full examination requires no serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the searches required for the different groups are not coextensive.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7-19, and 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,b) as being anticipated by US20030030689A1 to Hashimoto et al or in view of USPN 5,132,248 to Drummond et al. In Hashimoto et al, see paragraphs 0054, 0062-0066, 0085, 0087, 0088, 0114, and 0132-0149. In Drummond et al, see column 7, line 45 through column 8, line 4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/782,924

Art Unit: 1725

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US20030030689A1 to Hashimoto et al or in view of USPN 5,132,248 to Drummond et al in view of USPN 4,492,966 to Seki et al. The instant claimed plural lasers and plural droplets are old and well known as disclosed by Seki et al (column 22, line 67 through column 23, line 1) and the use of plural lasers and droplets in Hashimoto et al or Drummond et al would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the plural components can speed processing.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US20030030689A1 to Hashimoto et al or in view of USPN 5,132,248 to Drummond et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP401007349A. Laser application through transparent media is well known as shown by JP401007349A and the use thereof with Hashimoto et al or Drummond et al would have been obvious at the time

Application/Control Number: 10/782,924

Art Unit: 1725

applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art depending on the access to a particular workpiece.

Claims 2-4 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US20030030689A1 to Hashimoto et al or in view of USPN 5,132,248 to

Drummond et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of EP1357772A2. In EP1357772A2 see paragraph 0033 and 0091, and see Figures 1A-5C. The application of fixing a functional material with a laser to a sequence of droplets would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the sequence of droplets is disclosed by EP1357772A2 for electrooptical electronic applications.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ikegami et al discloses laser deposition.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,924

Art Unit: 1725

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel M Heinrich Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725